

Multiplicative structures of values of the sum-of-divisors function *

Tomohiro Yamada
Department of Mathematics,
University of Kyoto, Kyoto, Japan

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We study equations of the form $\sigma(p^{q-1}) = Az$, where p is a prime, q is a fixed odd prime, A is a fixed integer and z is an integer composed of primes in a fixed finite set. We shall improve upper bounds for the size and the number of solutions of such equations.

1 Introduction

We denote by $\sigma(N)$ the sum of divisors of N a positive integer. Then $\sigma(N)$ is multiplicative and $\sigma(p^{a-1}) = (p^a - 1)/(p - 1)$ for any positive prime p and positive integer a . Thus we are led to study numbers of the form $(p^a - 1)/(p - 1)$.

In this paper, we first study the equation

$$\frac{x^q - 1}{x - 1} = Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s}, \quad (1)$$

where $x, m_1, \dots, m_s$ are positive rational (not necessarily prime) integers, q is a positive prime. We note that if x is prime, then the left of (1) is equal to $\sigma(x^q)$. A considerable result in this direction is [3, Theorem 5], which states that if (1) with $A = s = 1$ and e_1 prime holds, then $e_1 \leq 9000q^2 \log^4 q$.

We use a similar argument to [3] to obtain our main theorem, which improves the upper bound in [2]. Before stating this result, we introduce some notations. For real x , we denote by $\langle x \rangle$ the quantity $\max\{x, 2\}$. Moreover, let $c_2(m) = 1500 \cdot 38^{m+1} (m+1)^{3m+9}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a positive integer, q be a positive prime, and $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_s$ be positive integers which are composed by primes congruent to 1 mod q . Denote by h the class number of the quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{(q-1)/2}q})$. Moreover, in the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, denote by R the regulator of this field. If*

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A05(Primary) 11A25, 11D61(Secondary).

a positive integer x satisfies the equation (1), then $e_i < U$, where we denote by U the constant

$$\max\left\{\frac{qh^2 \log(4m_s^{1/2})}{\log m_1}, \frac{q \log 2q^{1/2}}{\log m_1}, C_0 \langle \log A' + 2R \rangle \left(\log \frac{2s(s+2)C_0}{h}\right)\right\} \quad (2)$$

in the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and

$$\max\left\{\frac{q \log 2q^{1/2}}{\log m_1}, C_1 \langle \log A' \rangle \left(\log \frac{(s+1)C_1}{h}\right)\right\} + h - 1 \quad (3)$$

in the case $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, where

$$C_0 = 2^4 qc_2(s+2) \langle R \rangle \left(1 + \frac{2R}{\log m_1}\right) \prod_{i=2}^s (\log m_i + 2R), \quad (4)$$

$$C_1 = \frac{2^6 qc_2(s+1)}{\log 7} \prod_{i=2}^s (\log m_i), \quad (5)$$

and $A' = Am_1^{v_1} m_2^{v_2} \cdots m_s^{v_s}$ for some $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \leq h-1$.

We derive this theorem from the following theorem concerning values of binary quadratic forms.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A be a positive integer, D be an integer with $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_s$ be positive integers which are composed by primes congruent to 1 mod D . Let c_0 and c_1 be positive real numbers with $c_1 < 1/2$. Denote by h the class number of the quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}((-1)^{(D-1)/2}\sqrt{D})$. Moreover, in the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, denote by R the regulator of this field. If X, Y are two integers satisfying*

$$X^2 - DY^2 = 4Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s}, \quad (6)$$

$$|Y| < c_0 |Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s}|^{1/2-c_1}, \quad (7)$$

and any prime ideal dividing $(\frac{X+Y\sqrt{D}}{2}, \frac{X-Y\sqrt{D}}{2})$ divides also $\sqrt{D}$, then

$$e_i < \max\left\{\frac{h^2 \log(2c_0 m_s^{1/2})}{c_1 \log m_1}, \frac{\log c_0 |D|^{1/2}}{c_1 \log m_1}, C_2 \langle \log A' + 2R \rangle \left(\log \frac{2s(s+2)C_2}{h}\right)\right\} \quad (8)$$

in the case $D > 0$, and

$$e_i < \max\left\{\frac{\log c_0 |D|^{1/2}}{c_1 \log m_1}, C_3 \langle \log A' \rangle \left(\log \frac{(s+1)C_3}{h}\right)\right\} + h - 1 \quad (9)$$

in the case $D < 0$, where

$$C_2 = \frac{2^4 c_2(s+2)}{c_1} \langle R \rangle \left(1 + \frac{2R}{\log m_1}\right) \prod_{i=2}^s (\log m_i + 2R), \quad (10)$$

$$C_3 = \frac{2^6 c_2 (s+1)}{c_1 \log 7} \prod_{i=2}^s (\log m_i), \quad (11)$$

and $A' = Am_1^{v_1} m_2^{v_2} \cdots m_s^{v_s}$ for some $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s \leq h-1$.

We next consider the largest prime divisor of the left of (1). Denote by $P[n]$ the largest prime divisor of an integer n . Kotov[7] shows that $P[(x^q - 1)/(x - 1)] > c \log \log x$ for some effectively computable constant $c > 0$ depending only on q . An explicit estimate can be found in [5, Theorem 3]. See also a general result of [2].

Using Theorem 1.1, we can improve known results on the largest prime divisor of the left of (1).

Theorem 1.3. *Let x be a positive integers and q be a positive prime. Then, for any real $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an effectively computable constant x_0 depending only on q and ϵ such that*

$$P\left[\frac{x^q - 1}{x - 1}\right] > \left(\frac{q-1}{6} - \epsilon\right) \log \log x \quad (12)$$

for any integer $x > x_0$.

Finally, we consider the number of solutions of the equation

$$\frac{p^q - 1}{p - 1} = m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s}, \quad (13)$$

where $p, q, m_1, \dots, m_s$ are positive rational integers with p and q prime. We note that the left of this equation is equal to $\sigma(p^{q-1})$.

Theorem 1.4. *Set $c_7 = 2^{12} \times 38^2 \times 1500^2$. If $q > \frac{16}{9} es^4$ is prime, then the equation (13) has at most*

$$s \left(\frac{\log c_7 + 19s \log(s+2) + 3 \sum_{i=2}^s \log \log m_i}{\log q} + 7 \right) \quad (14)$$

solutions in integers $p, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s$ with p prime.

2 lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms

Our main tool is lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms of some special form. We denote by $H(\alpha)$ the absolute height of α (See Section 5 in [1]) and set $h(\alpha) = \log H(\alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an algebraic field of degree k over $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ ($m \geq 2$) be nonzero algebraic numbers in $\mathcal{K}$. Let $h_1, \dots, h_m$ be real numbers such that

$$h_i \geq \max\left\{h(\alpha_i), \left\lceil \frac{\log \alpha_i}{3.3k} \right\rceil, \frac{1}{k}\right\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (15)$$

where $\log$ denotes the principal value of the logarithm. Let $b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}$ be rational integers and put $B = \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_{m-1}|, 3\}$. Set

$$\Lambda = \alpha_1^{b_1} \cdots \alpha_{m-1}^{b_{m-1}} \alpha_m - 1.$$

The following estimate is due to [1] and [8].

Proposition 2.1. *If $\lambda \neq 0$,*

$$B \geq (\log H_m) \exp\{4(m+1)(7+3\log(m+1))\}, \quad (16)$$

and

$$7+3\log(m+1) \geq \log k, \quad (17)$$

then

$$|\Lambda| \geq \exp\{-c_2(m)k^{m+2}h_1 \cdots h_m \log(\frac{2mB}{h_m})\},$$

where $c_2(m)$ is the function defined in Theorem 1.2.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.2. The proof is a standard application of lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms of some special form.

Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers. We use the overline symbol to express the conjugate in $\mathcal{K}$. We denote by ϵ the fundamental unit in $\mathcal{K}$ if $D > 0$.

By the assumption that if $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal dividing both $[(X+Y\sqrt{D})/2]$ and $[(X-Y\sqrt{D})/2]$, then $\mathfrak{p}$ divides $[\sqrt{D}]$, there exist some ideal factorizations $(m_i) = \mathfrak{m}_i \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $A = \mathfrak{a} \overline{\mathfrak{a}}$ such that $[(X-Y\sqrt{D})/2] = \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{m}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_s^{e_s}$.

Write $A' = A m_1^{v_1} \cdots m_s^{v_s}$ and $e_i = hu_i + v_i$ with $0 \leq v_i < h$. Then we obtain $[(X+Y\sqrt{D})/2] = (\alpha')(\mu_1)^{u_1} \cdots (\mu_s)^{u_s}$, where $(\mu_i) = \mathfrak{m}_i^h$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $(\alpha') = \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{m}_1^{v_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_s^{v_s}$, each of which is necessarily principal.

Case 1. $D > 0$. Denote by ϵ the fundamental unit of $\mathcal{K}$ satisfying $\epsilon > 1$. By definition, $R = \log \epsilon$. Then we can choose α' and μ_i such that $0 < \overline{\alpha'} \leq \alpha' < |\epsilon| A'^{1/2}$ and $0 < \overline{\mu_i} \leq \mu_i < |\epsilon| m_i^{h/2}$.

Now there exists an integer u_0 such that

$$(X+Y\sqrt{D})/2 = \alpha' \epsilon^{u_0} \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s} \quad (18)$$

and

$$(X-Y\sqrt{D})/2 = |\overline{\alpha'} \epsilon^{-u_0} \overline{\mu_1}^{u_1} \cdots \overline{\mu_s}^{u_s}|. \quad (19)$$

We assume that $u_0 < 0$ and put $b_0 = -u_0$. Clearly we have

$$Y = (\alpha' \epsilon^{-b_0} \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s} - |\overline{\alpha'} \epsilon^{b_0} \overline{\mu_1}^{u_1} \cdots \overline{\mu_s}^{u_s}|) / \sqrt{D}. \quad (20)$$

Since X and Y are positive, we have $|X-Y\sqrt{D}| < |X+Y\sqrt{D}|$ and therefore

$$|\overline{\alpha'} \epsilon^{b_0} \overline{\mu_1}^{u_1} \cdots \overline{\mu_s}^{u_s}| < |\alpha' \epsilon^{-b_0} \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s}|. \quad (21)$$

This clearly yields that

$$|\alpha' \epsilon^{-b_0} \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s}| > (Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s})^{1/2}. \quad (22)$$

Thus we obtain

$$|\Lambda| \leq |Y/(\alpha' \epsilon^{-b_0} \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s})| \sqrt{D} \leq c_0 (Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s})^{-c_1} \sqrt{D}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\Lambda = (\pm \frac{\bar{\alpha}'}{\alpha'}) \epsilon^{2b_0} (\frac{\bar{\mu}_1}{\mu_1})^{u_1} \cdots (\frac{\bar{\mu}_s}{\mu_s})^{u_s} - 1. \quad (24)$$

By (22) and the choices of α' and μ_i , we have $h(\frac{\bar{\alpha}'}{\alpha'}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log A' + R$, $h(\frac{\bar{\mu}_i}{\mu_i}) \leq \frac{1}{2} h \log m_i + R$ and

$$\epsilon^{b_0} < |\alpha' \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s}| (Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s})^{-1/2} < \epsilon^{1+u_1+\cdots+u_s}. \quad (25)$$

Hence $b_0 \leq (u_1 + \cdots + u_s) \leq su_j$, where j is an index such that $u_j = \max u_i$. Since $D \geq 5$, we have $m_i \geq 11$ and therefore $\log m_i \geq 2$. From these estimates, we can apply Proposition 2.1 with

$$m = s + 2,$$

$$B = 2su_j,$$

$$h_i = \frac{1}{2} \log m_i + R, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s,$$

$$h_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2} \langle R \rangle,$$

$$h_{s+2} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \log A' + R \rangle,$$

to obtain

$$|\Lambda| \geq \exp\{-c_1(s+2)2^{s+4}h_1 \cdots h_{s+2} \log(\frac{2(s+2)B}{h_{s+2}})\}. \quad (26)$$

Comparing (23) and (26), we obtain

$$(\frac{hc_1}{2s} \log m_j)B + \log(c_0^{-1} |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} A^{c_1}) \leq c_1(s+2)2^{s+4}h_1 \cdots h_{s+2} \log(\frac{2(s+2)B}{h_{s+2}}). \quad (27)$$

Hence we have either

$$B \leq \frac{2s}{hc_1} \frac{\log(c_0 |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{-c_1})}{\log m_j} \quad (28)$$

or

$$(\frac{hc_1}{2s} \log m_j)B \leq c_2(s+2)2^{s+5}h_1 \cdots h_{s+2} \log(\frac{2(s+2)B}{h_{s+2}}). \quad (29)$$

In the former case, the inequality (8) clearly holds. Hence we limit to the latter case. Multiplying both sides by $4s(s+2)(c_1 h h_{s+2} \log m_j)^{-1}$, we have

$$\frac{2(s+2)B}{h_{s+2}} \leq \frac{s(s+2)c_2(s+2)2^{s+7}}{hc_1} h_1 \cdots h_{s+1} (\log m_j)^{-1} \log\left(\frac{2(s+2)B}{h_{s+2}}\right). \quad (30)$$

The assumption (16) gives

$$\frac{2(s+2)B}{h_{s+2}} \leq 2c_3 \log c_3, \quad (31)$$

where

$$c_3 = \frac{s(s+2)c_2(s+2)2^{s+7}}{hc_1} h_1 \cdots h_{s+1} (\log m_j)^{-1}. \quad (32)$$

Hence we obtain

$$2su_j = B \leq c_4 \langle \log A' + 2R \rangle \log\{(s+2)c_4\}, \quad (33)$$

where

$$c_4 = \frac{2^5 s c_2 (s+2)}{hc_1} \langle R \rangle \left(1 + \frac{2R}{\log m_j}\right) \prod_{i \neq j} (\log m_i + 2R). \quad (34)$$

Noting that $\max e_i \leq hu_i + h - 1$, this yields the inequality (8).

The case $u_0 \geq 0$ remains to consider. Let

$$|\Lambda'| = \left(\pm \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}\right) \epsilon^{2u_0} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1}\right)^{u_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\mu_s}{\mu_s}\right)^{u_s} - 1. \quad (35)$$

Then we easily see that by the hypothesis

$$|\Lambda'| \leq \frac{2c_0 (Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s})^{-c_1} \sqrt{D}}{1 - c_0 (Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s})^{-c_1} \sqrt{D}} \quad (36)$$

We easily see that $\mu_i \geq \bar{\mu}_i$ and $\mu_i/\bar{\mu}_i \geq (t_i + \sqrt{D})/(t_i - \sqrt{D})$ with $t_i = 2m_i^{h/2}$. Hence we obtain

$$|\Lambda| \geq \left(\frac{t_s + \sqrt{D}}{t_s - \sqrt{D}}\right)^E - 1, \quad (37)$$

where $E = \max u_i$ in $1 \leq i \leq s$. We have

$$|\Lambda| \geq \frac{2E\sqrt{D}}{t_s}. \quad (38)$$

From (36) and (38) we have

$$c_0 (Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s})^{-c_1} \sqrt{D} \geq \min\{1/2, \frac{E\sqrt{D}}{2t_s}\}. \quad (39)$$

This implies either

$$Am_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s} \leq (2c_0 \sqrt{D})^{1/c_1} \quad (40)$$

or

$$AE m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s} \leq (2c_0 t_s)^{1/c_1}. \quad (41)$$

If (40) holds, then the estimate $m_i \geq 11 \geq e^2$ gives the inequality (8). If (41) holds, then we have

$$E \leq \frac{h \log(2c_0 m_s^{1/2})}{\log m_1}, \quad (42)$$

which immediately yields the inequality (8). This completes the proof in the case $D > 0$.

Case 2. $D < 0$. In this case, we have $|\mu_i| = |\overline{\mu_i}| = m_i^{h/2}$ and $|\alpha'| = |\overline{\alpha'}| = A'$.

Now there exists an integer u_0 such that

$$(X + Y\sqrt{D})/2 = \alpha' \omega^{u_0} \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s} \quad (43)$$

and

$$(X - Y\sqrt{D})/2 = \overline{\alpha'} \omega^{-u_0} \overline{\mu_1}^{u_1} \cdots \overline{\mu_s}^{u_s}, \quad (44)$$

where ω is a primitive sixth root of unity when $q = 3$ and is -1 otherwise. Note that $\omega \overline{\omega} = 1$.

$$Y = (\alpha' \omega^{u_0} \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s} - \overline{\alpha'} \omega^{-u_0} \overline{\mu_1}^{u_1} \cdots \overline{\mu_s}^{u_s}) / \sqrt{D}. \quad (45)$$

It is clear that

$$|\overline{\alpha'} \omega^{-u_0} \overline{\mu_1}^{u_1} \cdots \overline{\mu_s}^{u_s}| = |\alpha' \omega^{u_0} \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s}| = (A m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s})^{1/2}. \quad (46)$$

Hence we obtain

$$|\Lambda| \leq |Y/(\alpha' \omega^{u_0} \mu_1^{u_1} \cdots \mu_s^{u_s})| \sqrt{|D|} \leq c_0 (A m_1^{e_1} m_2^{e_2} \cdots m_s^{e_s})^{-c_1} \sqrt{|D|}, \quad (47)$$

where

$$\Lambda = \omega^{-2u_0} \left(\frac{\overline{\alpha'}}{\alpha'}\right) \left(\frac{\overline{\mu_1}}{\mu_1}\right)^{u_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\overline{\mu_s}}{\mu_s}\right)^{u_s} - 1. \quad (48)$$

By (22) and the choices of α' and μ_i , we have $h(\frac{\overline{\alpha'}}{\alpha'}) = \frac{1}{2} \log A'$, $h(\frac{\overline{\mu_i}}{\mu_i}) \leq \frac{1}{2} h \log m_i$ and From these estimates, we can apply Proposition 2.1 with

$$m = s + 1,$$

$$B = u_j,$$

$$h_i = \frac{1}{2} \langle \log m_i \rangle, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, s,$$

$$h_{s+1} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \log A' \rangle,$$

to obtain

$$|\Lambda| \geq \exp\{-c_2(s+1)2^{s+3}h_1 \cdots h_{s+1} \log(\frac{2(s+1)B}{h_{s+1}})\}. \quad (49)$$

Comparing (47) and (49), we obtain

$$(hc_1 \log m_j)B + \log(c_0^{-1} |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} A^{c_1}) \leq c_2(s+1)2^{s+3}h_1 \cdots h_{s+1} \log\left(\frac{2(s+1)B}{h_{s+1}}\right). \quad (50)$$

Thus we have either

$$B \leq \frac{\log(c_0 |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{-c_1})}{hc_1 \log m_j} \quad (51)$$

or

$$(hc_1 \log m_j)B \leq c_2(s+1)2^{s+4}h_1 \cdots h_{s+1} \log\left(\frac{2(s+1)B}{h_{s+1}}\right). \quad (52)$$

In the former case, the inequality (9) clearly holds. Hence we limit to the latter case. Multiplying both sides by $4s(s+1)(c_1 h h_{s+1} \log m_j)^{-1}$, we have

$$\frac{2(s+1)B}{h_{s+1}} \leq \frac{(s+1)c_2(s+1)2^{s+5}}{hc_1} h_1 \cdots h_s (\log m_j)^{-1} \log\left(\frac{2(s+1)B}{h_{s+1}}\right). \quad (53)$$

The assumption (16) gives

$$\frac{2(s+1)B}{h_{s+1}} \leq 2c_5 \log c_5, \quad (54)$$

where

$$c_5 = \frac{(s+1)c_2(s+1)2^{s+5}}{hc_1} h_1 \cdots h_s (\log m_j)^{-1}. \quad (55)$$

Since $h_1/\log m_j \leq h_1/\log m_1 \leq 2/\log 7$ and $\log m_i \geq \log 13 > 2$ for $i > 1$, we obtain

$$B \leq c_6 (\log A') \log\{(s+1)c_6\}, \quad (56)$$

where

$$c_6 = \frac{2^6 c_2 (s+1)}{hc_1 \log 7} \prod_{i>1} (\log m_i). \quad (57)$$

Noting that $\max e_i \leq hB + h - 1$, this yields the inequality (9). This completes the proof.

4 Proof of the Theorem 1.1

We put $D = (-1)^{(q-1)/2} q$. It is clear that $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. As in the previous section, we denote by $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}$, respectively, $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and its ring of integers. We use the overline symbol to express the conjugate in $\mathcal{K}$. Assume $x \geq q^{3/2}$. Let

$$P^+(x) = \prod_{\left(\frac{m}{q}\right)=1} (x - \zeta^m) = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} a_i x^{\frac{q-1}{2}-i} \quad (58)$$

and

$$P^-(x) = \prod_{\left(\frac{m}{q}\right)=-1} (x - \zeta^m), \quad (59)$$

where ζ is a primitive q -th root of unity. Then it is well-known that $P^+(x) = \overline{P^-(x)}$ has its coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$. We have $|a_i| \leq \binom{(q-1)/2}{i} \leq q^i$.

We put

$$X = f(x) = (P^+(x) + P^-(x))$$

and

$$Y = g(x) = (P^+(x) - P^-(x))/(\sqrt{D}).$$

Then it is clear that the coefficients of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ belong in $\mathbf{Z}$ and $g(x)$ has degree $(q-3)/2$. So we can write

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{q-3}{2}} b_i x^{\frac{q-3}{2}-i}. \quad (60)$$

By a well-known result on Gaussian sums, we observe $b_0 = \pm 1$. Moreover, we have $|b_i| = |a_{i+1}|/(2\sqrt{|D|}) \leq q^{i+1/2}/2$. Hence we have

$$|g(x)| \leq x^{(q-3)/2} + q^{3/2} x^{(q-5)/2} \leq 2x^{(q-3)/2} < 2\left(\frac{x^q - 1}{x - 1}\right)^{\frac{q-3}{2(q-1)}}. \quad (61)$$

Moreover, we observe that if a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ divides $[P^+(x), P^-(x)]$, then $\mathfrak{p}$ divides $[\sqrt{D}]$, for $\mathfrak{p}$ divides $[x - \zeta^i, x - \zeta^j]$ for some i, j with $i \neq j$ and therefore $\mathfrak{p}$ must divide $[\zeta^i - \zeta^j]$. Based on these facts and the identity

$$4\frac{x^q - 1}{x - 1} = X^2 - DY^2, \quad (62)$$

we can apply Theorem 1.2 with $(c_0, c_1) = (2, 1/q)$ and we immediately obtain the inequalities (2) and (3).

5 A simple estimate for U

In this section, we give a simple estimate for U since the definition of U in Theorem 1.1 is too complicated for application. We begin by noting that $h, R \leq q^{1/2} \log 4q([4])$.

Case 1. $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

We have

$$U < \max\left\{\frac{h^2 q \log(4m_s^{1/2})}{\log m_1}, \frac{f \log 2q^{1/2}}{\log m_1}, C_1 \langle \log A' \rangle \left(\log \frac{(s+1)C_1}{h}\right)\right\} + h - 1. \quad (63)$$

Firstly, if

$$U < \frac{h^2 q \log(4m_s^{1/2})}{\log m_1} + h - 1, \quad (64)$$

then we have $U < q^5 \log m_s$ if $s > 1$ and $U < q^5$ if $s = 1$. Secondly, if

$$U < \frac{q \log 2q^{1/2}}{\log m_1} + h - 1, \quad (65)$$

then we have $U < q^5$. Finally we consider the case

$$U < C_1 \langle \log A' \rangle \left(\log \frac{(s+1)C_1}{h} \right) + h - 1. \quad (66)$$

We have

$$\langle \log A' \rangle \leq \max\{2, (h-1) \log(m_1 \cdots m_s)\} \leq q^{1/2} \log(4q) \log(m_1 \cdots m_s), \quad (67)$$

$$\log[(s+1)C_1] \leq 2 \log C_1 \leq C_1. \quad (68)$$

Thus

$$\log U \leq \log[C_1 \langle \log A' \rangle \left(\log \frac{(s+1)C_1}{h} \right) + h - 1] \quad (69)$$

$$\leq \log[C_1^2 q^{1/2} \log(4q) \log(m_1 \cdots m_s) + q^{1/2} \log(4q)] \quad (70)$$

$$\leq \log\left[\left(\frac{2^6 q c_2 (s+1)}{\log 7} \prod_{i=2}^s (\log m_i)\right)^2 \log(m_1 \cdots m_s) + 1\right] q^{1/2} \log(4q) \quad (71)$$

$$\leq 2 \log[2^6 q c_2 (s+1) \prod_{i=2}^s (\log m_i) q^{1/2} \log(4q) \log(m_1 \cdots m_s)] \quad (72)$$

$$\leq 12 \log 2 + 2 \log 1500 + 2(s+2) \log 38 + (6s+4) \log(s+2) \quad (73)$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{i=2}^s \log \log m_i + \log \log(m_1 \cdots m_s) + 3 \log q + 2 \log \log(4q) \quad (74)$$

$$\leq \log c_7 + 2s \log 38 + (6s+4) \log(s+2) + 3 \sum_{i=2}^s \log \log m_i + 5 \log q \quad (75)$$

$$\leq \log c_7 + (13s+4) \log(s+2) + 3 \sum_{i=2}^s \log \log m_i + 5 \log q. \quad (76)$$

Case 2. $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. We have

$$U < \max\left\{\frac{q \log 2q^{1/2}}{\log m_1}, C_0 \langle \log A' + 2R \rangle \left(\log \frac{2s(s+2)C_0}{h} \right)\right\} + h - 1, \quad (77)$$

If

$$U < \frac{q \log 2q^{1/2}}{\log m_1} + h - 1, \quad (78)$$

then it follows that $U < q^3$. We next consider the case

$$U < C_0 \langle \log A' + 2R \rangle \left(\log \frac{2s(s+2)C_0}{h} \right) + h - 1. \quad (79)$$

We have

$$\langle \log A' \rangle + 2R \leq q^{1/2} \log(4q)[2 + \log(m_1 \cdots m_s)], \quad (80)$$

$$\log[2s(s+2)C_0] \leq 2 \log C_0 \leq C_0. \quad (81)$$

Thus

$$\log U \leq \log[C_0 \langle \log A' + 2R \rangle (\log \frac{s(s+2)C_0}{h}) + h - 1] \quad (82)$$

$$\leq \log[C_0^2 q^{1/2} \log(4q)(2 + \log[m_1 \cdots m_s]) + q^{1/2} \log(4q)] \quad (83)$$

$$\leq \log[(C_0^2 \log[m_1 \cdots m_s] + 3)q^{1/2} \log(4q)] \quad (84)$$

$$\leq 2 \log[2^5 q c_2 (s+2) \prod_{i=2}^s (\log m_i) q^{1/2} \log(4q) \log(m_1 \cdots m_s)] \quad (85)$$

$$\leq 12 \log 2 + 2 \log 1500 + 2(s+2) \log 38 + (6s+4) \log(s+2) \quad (86)$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{i=2}^s \log \log m_i + \log \log(m_1 \cdots m_s) + 3 \log q + 2 \log \log(4q) \quad (87)$$

$$\leq \log c_7 + 2s \log 38 + (6s+4) \log(s+2) + 3 \sum_{i=2}^s \log \log m_i + 5 \log q \quad (88)$$

$$(89)$$

In both cases, we obtain

$$\log U \leq \log c_7 + 2s \log 38 + (6s+4) \log(s+2) + 3 \sum_{i=2}^s \log \log m_i + 5 \log q. \quad (90)$$

6 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let $(x^q - 1)/(x - 1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$, where we denote the prime divisors of $(x^q - 1)/(x - 1)$ by $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$. By definition, we have $P[(x^q - 1)/(x - 1)] = p_s$. Write for brevity, $P = p_s$.

Since $p_i = q$ or $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, we have

$$s \leq \frac{P}{(q-1) \log P} + c \frac{P}{(\log P)^2} \quad (91)$$

for some effectively computable constant c depending on q ([6, Theorem 9.6]). Moreover, we have an trivial estimate $(x^q - 1)/(x - 1) \leq P^{sU}$ and therefore $x \leq P^{sU/(q-1)}$. Now the inequality (90) immediately gives

$$P > \left(\frac{q-1}{6} - \epsilon \right) \log \log x \quad (92)$$

for $P > P_0$, where P_0 denotes an effectively computable constant depending only on q and ϵ . We see that this fact implies that (92) also holds for $x > x_0$, since P tends to infinity together with x . This proves the theorem.

7 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, we prove a combinatorial lemma concerning the distribution of the solutions of (13).

Lemma 7.1. *Let p_0, p_1, p_2 be distinct primes, e and q be positive integers. Put $H_i = e \log p_0 / \log p_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. If the equation*

$$p_i^q \equiv 1 \pmod{p_0^e} \quad (93)$$

holds for $i = 1, 2$, then

$$\frac{3}{4}H_1H_2 \leq (q, p_0 - 1). \quad (94)$$

Proof. Consider the congruence

$$p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_0^e} \quad (95)$$

with $0 \leq a_i \leq H_i$. We assume that (95) has no solution. Then $p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2}$ takes distinct values $\pmod{q^e}$ for each a_1 and a_2 . But (93) implies that this takes at most $(q, p_0 - 1)$ distinct values. Hence we obtain $(\lfloor H_1 \rfloor + 1)(\lfloor H_2 \rfloor + 1) \leq (q, p_0 - 1)$.

The remaining case is when (95) has a solution (a_1, a_2) . Consider the congruence

$$p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \equiv p_1^{c_1} p_2^{c_2} \pmod{p_0^e} \quad (96)$$

with $0 \leq b_i, c_i \leq H_i$ and $(b_1, b_2) \neq (c_1, c_2)$. If (96) has a solution, then we have $p_1^{c_1 - b_1} \equiv p_2^{b_2 - c_2} \pmod{p_0^e}$. Hence

$$c_i \leq b_i \text{ for both } i = 1, 2 \text{ or } c_i \geq b_i \text{ for both } i = 1, 2. \quad (97)$$

In both case we have

$$p_1^{|c_1 - b_1|} p_2^{|c_2 - b_2|} \equiv p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_0^e}. \quad (98)$$

We shall show that $|c_i - b_i| \geq a_i$ for both $i = 1, 2$. Otherwise we have $a_1 > |c_1 - b_1| > 0$ and $a_2 > |c_2 - b_2| > 0$ by virtue of (97). Hence $a_1 \log p_1 + a_2 \log p_2 > 2p_0^e$, which is incompatible with the ranges of a_1 and a_2 . Thus we conclude that $p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2}$ takes distinct values for each b_1, b_2 satisfying $0 \leq b_i \leq H_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $b_i < a_i$ for at least one i . Hence we obtain $\frac{3}{4}H_1H_2 \leq (q, p_0 - 1)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 7.2. *Let p_0, p_1, p_2 be distinct primes with $p_2 > p_1$ and q be a positive integer. If there are integers e_i such that $p_0^{e_i} \mid \sigma(p_i^{q-1})$ and $p^{e_i} \geq \sigma(p_i^{f-1})^{1/s}$ for $i = 1, 2$, then*

$$\log p_2 > \frac{3(q-1)^2}{4qs^2} \log p_1. \quad (99)$$

Proof. Let $e = \min\{e_1, e_2\}$ and $H_i = e \log p_0 / \log p_i$. Then it is clear that $H_i \geq (q-1)/s$. By Lemma 7.1, we obtain

$$\log p_2 \geq \frac{3}{4q} H_1^2 \log p_1 \geq \frac{3(q-1)^2}{4qs^2} \log p_1. \quad (100)$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

If q is sufficiently large compared to s , then we obtain a more simple inequality.

Corollary 7.3. *If the conditions in Lemma 7.2 hold and $q > \frac{16}{9}es^4$, then*

$$\log p_2 > q^{1/2} \log p_1. \quad (101)$$

If the equation (13) holds, then there exists an index i such that $m_i^{e_i} \geq \sigma(r^{q-1})^{1/s}$. So we can divide the solutions of the equation (13) into s sets $R_1, \dots, R_s$ so that if $(r, e_1, \dots, e_s)$ is the solution of (13) with $r \in R_i$, then $m_i^{e_i} \geq \sigma(r^{q-1})^{1/s}$. By Corollary 7.3, if $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$ are the elements of R_i , then $\log r_{j+1} > f^{1/2} \log r_j$.

Now we have $\sigma(r_1^{q-1}) \geq m_i$ and $\sigma(r_{\#R_i}^{q-1}) \leq m_i^{sU}$. It immediately follows that $r_{\#R_i} < r_1^{sqU/(q-1)}$. These facts give

$$\#R_i \leq \frac{2 \log sqU/(q-1)}{\log q} + 1 \quad (102)$$

and therefore the number of solutions of the equation (13) is at most

$$s \left(\frac{2 \log sqU/(q-1)}{\log q} + 1 \right). \quad (103)$$

Applying the upper bound for U given in (90), we obtain

$$s \left(\frac{2 \log s + \log q/(q-1) + \log U}{\log q} + 1 \right) \quad (104)$$

$$\leq s \left(\frac{\log c_7 + (13s+6) \log(s+2) + 3 \sum_{i=2}^s \log \log m_i + 6 \log q}{\log q} + 1 \right) \quad (105)$$

$$\leq s \left(\frac{\log c_7 + 19s \log(s+2) + 3 \sum_{i=2}^s \log \log m_i}{\log q} + 7 \right). \quad (106)$$

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] Y. Bugeaud and K. Györy. Bounds for the solutions of unit equations. *Acta Arith.* **74** (1996), 67–80.

- [2] Y. Bugeaud and K. Győry. Bounds for the solutions of Thue-Mahler equations and norm form equations. *Acta Arith.* **74** (1996), 273–292.
- [3] Y. Bugeaud, G. Hanrot and M. Mignotte. Sur l'équation diophantienne $\frac{x^n-1}{x-1} = y^m$, III. *Proc London Math. Soc.* **84** (2002), 59–78.
- [4] A. Faisant. *L'équation diophantienne du second degré* (Herrmann, Paris, 1991).
- [5] K. Győry. On some arithmetical properties of Lucas and Lehmer numbers. *Acta Arith.* **40** (1982), 369–373.
- [6] A. A. Karatsuba. *Basic Analytic Number Theory* (Springer-Verlag, 1993).
- [7] S. V. Kotov. The Thue-Mahler equation in relative fields(Russian), *Acta Arith.* **27**(1975), 293–315.
- [8] M. Waldschmidt. Minorations de combinaisons linéaires de logarithmes de nombres algébriques. *Canadian J. Math.* **45** (1993), 176–224.

Tomohiro Yamada
 Department of Mathematics,
 Graduate School of Science,
 Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan
 e-mail: tyamada@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp